

Regulatory Analysis

Notice of Intended Action to be published: 661—Chapter 81
“Criminal Intelligence Information”

Iowa Code section(s) or chapter(s) authorizing rulemaking: 692.10

State or federal law(s) implemented by the rulemaking: Iowa Code chapter 692

Public Hearing

A public hearing at which persons may present their views orally or in writing will be held as follows:

December 22, 2025
2 to 2:30 p.m.

Public Conference Room 125
Oran Pape State Office Building
Des Moines, Iowa

Public Comment

Any interested person may submit written comments concerning this Regulatory Analysis, which must be received by the Department of Public Safety no later than 4:30 p.m. on the date of the public hearing. Comments should be directed to:

Josie Wagler
215 East 7th Street
Des Moines, Iowa 50319
Email: wagler@dps.state.ia.us

Purpose and Summary

Pursuant to Executive Order 10, the Department proposes to rescind Chapter 81 and adopt a new chapter in lieu thereof. The proposed chapter ensures the security and confidentiality of all systems established for the exchange of intelligence data between criminal and juvenile justice agencies and provides for the authorization of officers or employees to access a system in which criminal intelligence information is stored.

Analysis of Impact

1. **Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:**

- **Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:**

The Department is responsible for implementing administrative, technical, and physical safeguards, including audit trails, to prevent unauthorized access and intentional or unintentional damage to the information systems.

- **Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:**

Any person who has information included in criminal intelligence information files will benefit from extensive protective measures to ensure confidentiality and security.

2. **Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:**

- **Quantitative description of impact:**

There is no cost for the Department to maintain a secure system containing criminal intelligence information.

- **Qualitative description of impact:**

The security and confidentiality of sensitive criminal intelligence information is preserved and handled in accordance with State law.

3. Costs to the State:

- **Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:**

None.

- **Anticipated effect on State revenues:**

There is no anticipated effect on State revenues.

4. Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:

Failure to maintain robust confidentiality and security procedures could compromise systems containing criminal intelligence information.

5. Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:

The Department has determined that this is the least costly and least intrusive method for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking.

6. Alternative methods considered by the agency:

- **Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:**

None were identified.

- **Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking:**

Not applicable.

Small Business Impact

If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:

- Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.
- Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rulemaking for small business.
- Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

There is no substantial impact on small business.

Text of Proposed Rulemaking

ITEM 1. Rescind 661—Chapter 81 and adopt the following **new** chapter in lieu thereof:

CHAPTER 81
CRIMINAL INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION

661—81.1(692) Definitions. The following definitions apply to this chapter.

“*Commissioner*” means the commissioner of the department of public safety or the commissioner’s designee.

“*Criminal intelligence file*” means information stored in a criminal intelligence system that is compiled in an effort to anticipate, prevent, or monitor possible criminal activity on:

1. An individual who, based upon reasonable grounds, is believed to be involved in the actual or attempted planning, organization, financing, promotion, or commission of criminal acts or is believed to be involved in criminal activities with known or suspected criminal offenders.

2. A group, organization or business that, based on reasonable grounds, is believed to be involved in the actual or attempted planning, organization, financing, promotion, or commission of criminal acts, or of being illegally operated, controlled, financed, promoted, or infiltrated by known or suspected criminal offenders.

3. An incident in which sufficient articulable facts give a trained law enforcement or criminal investigative agency officer, investigator, or employee a basis to believe that a definable criminal activity or enterprise is, has been, or may be committed.

“Criminal intelligence file” does not include surveillance data as defined in Iowa Code section 692.1.

“*Criminal intelligence system*” means the arrangements, equipment, facilities, and procedures used for the receipt, storage, interagency exchange or dissemination, and analysis of criminal intelligence information.

“*Need to know*” is established if criminal intelligence information will assist a recipient in anticipating, investigating, monitoring, or preventing possible criminal activity or if criminal intelligence information is pertinent to protecting a person or property from a threat of imminent serious harm.

“*Noncriminal identifying information*” means information about the characteristics and associations of an identifiable person suspected of being involved in criminal activity.

“*Reasonable grounds*” means information that establishes sufficient articulable facts that give a trained law enforcement or criminal investigative agency officer, investigator, or employee a reasonable basis to believe that a definable criminal activity or enterprise is, has been, or may be committed.

“*Right to know*” is established when a recipient of criminal intelligence information is legally permitted to receive intelligence data or an intelligence assessment.

“*Surveillance data*” means the same as defined in Iowa Code section 692.1(16). Noncriminal identifying information does not constitute surveillance data.

“*Threat of imminent serious harm*” means a credible impending threat to the safety of a person or property. A threat of imminent serious harm justifies the dissemination of intelligence data or an intelligence assessment for the purpose of protecting a person or property from the threat.

661—81.2(692) Iowa law enforcement intelligence network (LEIN) information system.

81.2(1) *LEIN information system.* The Iowa law enforcement intelligence network (LEIN) information system is the statewide interjurisdictional intelligence system maintained and operated by the intelligence division of the department of public safety, for the regular interagency exchange of criminal intelligence files. Criminal intelligence files contained in the LEIN information system may be disseminated or redisseminated by the intelligence division of the department of public safety, consistent with Iowa Code chapter 692.

81.2(2) *Direct computer access.* The commissioner may authorize a peace officer, criminal justice agency, or state or federal regulatory agency to access the LEIN information system directly via a remote computer terminal, provided that the authorized individual or agency follows approved procedures regarding receipt, maintenance, dissemination, submission and security of information, and related training. Authorization may be provided in writing or electronically.

81.2(3) *Termination of authorization for direct computer access.* The commissioner may, at any time for good cause, terminate authorization for direct, remote computer access to the LEIN information system that has been previously approved. An individual or agency whose authorization to directly access the LEIN information system via remote computer has been terminated may appeal the termination in accordance with procedures for contested cases established in 661—Chapter 10.

81.2(4) *Reinstatement of authorization for direct computer access.* Any user whose authorization for direct, remote computer access to the LEIN information system has been terminated may apply for the authorization for access to be reinstated, provided that the problem that led to the termination has been corrected.

81.2(5) *Applications for direct computer access.* To apply for direct, remote computer access to the LEIN information system or to obtain further information about the LEIN information system, a person may contact the Intelligence Division, Iowa Department of Public Safety, State Public Safety Headquarters Building, 215 East 7th Street, Des Moines, Iowa 50319, or by email at intinfo@dps.state.ia.us.

81.2(6) *Entry of information—restrictions.* Information about the political, religious, racial, or social views, associations, activities or sexual orientation of any individual will not be entered into the LEIN information system unless such information constitutes noncriminal identifying information or is relevant to an investigation of criminal conduct or activity involving an identifiable individual.

81.2(7) *Entry of information—conformance with applicable law.* No information that is deemed unreliable because it has been obtained in violation of any applicable federal, state, or local law or ordinance, or these rules, may be entered into the LEIN information system.

81.2(8) *Dissemination.* Intelligence data from the LEIN information system may be disseminated only to peace officers, criminal justice agencies, or state or federal regulatory agencies. Intelligence data from the LEIN information system may be disseminated only when there is a right to know and a need to know in the performance of a law enforcement activity. Intelligence data from the LEIN information system will not be disseminated to any user whose authorization to access the LEIN information system has been terminated and has not been reinstated.

EXCEPTION: Intelligence assessments may be disseminated to any agency or organization for an official purpose or to a person in order to protect a person or property from the threat of imminent serious harm as defined in rule 661—81.1(692).

81.2(9) *Redissemination of intelligence data and intelligence assessment.* An agency, organization, or person receiving intelligence data or an intelligence assessment from the department pursuant to Iowa Code chapter 692 may retransmit the intelligence data or intelligence assessment only if authorized by the agency or peace officer who originally provided the data or assessment and if the data or assessment is for an official purpose in connection with the prescribed duties of the recipient. If the agency, organization, or person receiving the information is not a peace officer, criminal or juvenile justice agency, or state or federal regulatory agency, retransmission is allowed only if such retransmission is for an official purpose and if the information is retransmitted in order to protect a person or property from the threat of imminent serious harm. The department may also place restrictions on the retransmission by the agency, organization, or person receiving the intelligence data or intelligence assessment. Any agency, organization, or person who retransmits intelligence data or an intelligence assessment pursuant to Iowa Code chapter 692 must maintain a list of the agencies, organizations, and persons receiving the intelligence data or intelligence assessment and the purpose of the retransmission. Intelligence data and intelligence assessments must be maintained separately from and should not be included in any form in any investigative or prosecutorial files. An agency, organization, or person who retransmits information without proper authorization may be prohibited from receiving further intelligence assessments.

661—81.3(692) Criminal intelligence file security. The intelligence division of the department of public safety adopts administrative, technical, and physical safeguards, including audit trails, to ensure against unauthorized access and against intentional or unintentional damage to the LEIN information system. These safeguards include but are not limited to the following:

81.3(1) Records indicating who has been given the information, the reason for release of information, and the date of any dissemination will be maintained until the information has been purged.

81.3(2) Criminal intelligence files will be labeled to indicate security level and identities of submitting agencies and submitting individual.

81.3(3) Where appropriate, effective and technologically advanced computer software and hardware designs will be implemented to prevent unauthorized access.

81.3(4) Any access to criminal intelligence files and computing facilities in which the files are stored will be restricted to authorized personnel.

81.3(5) Criminal intelligence files will be stored in such a manner that the files cannot be modified, destroyed, accessed, purged, or overlaid in any fashion by unauthorized personnel.

81.3(6) Computer systems on which criminal intelligence files are stored will be programmed to detect, reject, and record any unauthorized attempt to access, modify, or destroy criminal intelligence files or to otherwise penetrate the security safeguards on such a system.

81.3(7) Access to any information required to gain authorized access to criminal intelligence files, including access codes and passwords, will be restricted only to personnel authorized to access these files. The intelligence division will ensure that criminal intelligence files remain confidential when specific agreements are entered into with individuals or organizations that provide computer or programming support to the agency.

81.3(8) Procedures will be adopted to protect criminal intelligence files from unauthorized access, theft, sabotage, fire, flood, wind, and natural or other disasters.

81.3(9) Procedures will be adopted establishing the right of the intelligence division to screen and reject for employment any personnel who would, if hired, have access to criminal intelligence files.

81.3(10) Procedures will be established allowing the removal or transfer, based on good cause, of any existing employees from positions in which they have access to criminal intelligence files.

81.3(11) Any compromise, or suspected compromise, of information that would allow unauthorized access into criminal intelligence files will be reported without delay and, in any event, by the end of the next business day, to a supervisor within the intelligence division of the department of public safety.

81.3(12) Any compromise, or suspected compromise, of information contained in criminal intelligence files will be reported without delay and, in any event, by the end of the next business day, to a supervisor within the intelligence division.

661—81.4(692) Review of criminal intelligence files—purging.

81.4(1) The intelligence division regularly reviews the information in criminal intelligence files for reclassification or purging. Decisions to retain, reclassify, or purge criminal intelligence files will:

- a. Ensure that the information is current, accurate and relevant to the needs of the agency.
- b. Safeguard individual privacy interests protected by federal and state laws.
- c. Ensure that security classifications remain appropriate.

81.4(2) Information that is misleading, unreliable, or no longer useful will be purged or reclassified, when necessary, without delay. Any person or agency to which the criminal intelligence file was disseminated will be notified of the reclassification or purge.

81.4(3) All information is reviewed within a five-year period of its submission to ensure compliance with subrule 81.4(1).

81.4(4) All information retained after a review will reflect the name of the reviewer, date of review, and an explanation of the decision to retain.

81.4(5) Information that is not retained in a criminal intelligence file after a review will be deleted from the LEIN information system.

661—81.5(692) Subpoenas and court orders. Any agency or individual will notify the department in writing without delay and, in any event, by the end of the next business day of the receipt of any subpoena, court order, request for production, or other legal process demanding the production of a criminal intelligence file, so that the department has an opportunity to make a timely resistance.

These rules are intended to implement Iowa Code chapter 692.